

Revised Reby to summarize some of the technical comments.

OUTLINE FOR EPA PARTICIPATION IN 8/11/14 CAG MEETING

I. Introduction (Ben Washburn)

- EPA appreciates the opportunity to participate in the CAG meeting and looks forward to continuing our dialogue with the community throughout the Superfund process
- We are trying a different approach (rather than Dan reading the Q and A) for more productive interactions with the CAG. If you have any feedback on this approach, please see me following the meeting or contact me by email.
- Introduce Mary Peterson
 - a. 20 years experience as Superfund RPM
 - b. 4 years as a manager in Superfund Emergency Response
 - c. Experience managing complex technical, legal, and community relations issues
 - d. Successful track record working with CAGs.

II. Presentation (Mary Peterson)

- Intro
 - o Education – BSChE from Univ of Tulsa
 - o Professional – years at Conoco as process design engineer
 - Joined EPA Superfund in 1990
 - o St. Louis connection – graduated from Parkway South; grew up a Cards fan, still have family in the area
- Presentation
 - ✓ Summary of EPA's response to CAG Exec Committee RE: BMAC
 - Copies of full text will be available
 - ✓ BMAC Soil Sampling Results
 - Summarize main messages
 - ✓ Response to CAG Questions submitted
 - a. Work on isolation barrier and ROD amendment are concurrent; however significant resources have been devoted to evaluating options for an IB.
 - b. Explain the ROD Amendment process and role of NRRB
 - c. List of on-going activities – point to EPA's Path Ahead publication on the website
 - ✓ Closing
 - EPA is committed to selecting remedies that will protect human health and the environment.
 - These decisions will be based on sound science.
 - EPA has the technical expertise and legal authority to make these decisions.
 - We are committed to keeping the public informed along the way.

0714

40501831



Superfund

53

011-01